Attention White People! What Is Your Problem?!?

Think the system is fine and Black people just complain too much about old issues? Think racism nowadays comes only in "reverse"? Do the words "I'm not a racist...BUT" keep coming out your mouth on a regular basis? Well, how do you explain the following?

Why does "beating the system" make you think of O.J.?



Found not guilty by a jury where a key police witness committed perjury—raising serious questions of evidence tampering. Found liable for the deaths of Nicole Brown Simpson and Ronald Goldman in a civil trial where the standards for a verdict were much more favorable for the accusers—needing only to believe Simpson "probably" was responsible. Ever since, Simpson has become a recurring target in the media and on the web—mocked, demonized and used as a convenient symbol of "reverse racism"



...but not Mark Fuhrman?

Pleaded no contest to perjury during the Simpson trial, where he emphatically denied using the N-word. A witness testified that Fuhrman said "If I had my way, I'd gather all the n*ggers together and burn them," and tapes were played with Fuhrman boasting how he framed people, beat people bloody and tortured suspects—while constantly referring to them as "n*ggers." Fuhrman received no jail time for lying under oath—just probation—and resigned from the LAPD. He was never prosecuted for his admitted abuses. But far from being ostracized, this self-avowed racist, bragging brutalizer, and legally convicted liar has become a best-selling author, and a welcome guest on the FOX network.

Why do you think "rush to judgment" when the defendants are white? ...but not when they're Black and Latino?



These three white members of the Duke lacrosse team were charged with raping a Black college student, one of two women they hired to strip at their party. Though arrested, all three remained free on bond and never saw a day in jail. With no matching DNA for evidence, the charges were based on the alleged victim's identifications. The arrests were followed by protests and a signed ad calling for an end to an atmosphere of racism and sexism that has existed at Duke University. One year later, all charges were dropped by the North Carolina State Attorney General, who described the defendants as victims of a "tragic rush to accuse." The prosecuting district attorney was denounced, disbarred, and sent to jail for a day.







Three of the Central Park defendants after being released from prison

In 1989, four Black and one Latino youth—ages 14 to 16—were arrested and charged with the brutal rape of a woman who had been jogging in Central Park, NYC. A lynch mob atmosphere soon followed. "Wolf Pack," screamed the front page headlines, while Donald Trump spent \$85,000 to run full-page ads in the major NY papers demanding, "Bring

Back the Death Penalty! Bring Back Our Police!" With a victim unable to make an identification, the case was built on lies. Though DNA tests excluded the teens from the rape, the authorities described the tests as "inconclusive." The prosecution <u>lied</u> in court by claiming that two hairs found on one teen "matched" the woman's hair. The main "evidence" was coerced "confessions," extracted by police during interrogations. Even though the teens retracted these statements at trial, they were all convicted and sent to prison—with time served ranging from 6 to 11½ years. In 2002, Matias Reyes, a convicted murderer and serial rapist, announced that he was solely responsible for the rape and assault—a claim backed up by a DNA test. Reyes had also committed another rape two days prior to his Central Park attack—a crime that was known to the police. While detectives told the press they first thought that the same suspect might have committed both rapes—the cops not only failed to pursue the link, but prosecutors made no mention of this in the trial. No charges have been brought against the police who produced the false "confessions," nor against the lead prosecutor on the case, who continues to work as a New York City District Attorney.

Why do you believe those *denying* discrimination against Blacks & Latinos? ...but *not* the evidence that proves it?



"that's reverse-discrimination... playing the race card...affirmative-action discriminates against white people..." is the message delivered from right-wing pundits like O'Reilly, Hannity, Limbaugh, Beck, and others. Studies done in New York City and Milwaukee revealed that when picking from job applicants of equal qualifications, employers were 2 to 2½ times more likely to choose a white applicant than an equally qualified Black applicant. When informed that the white applicant was a felon, employers still preferred the white applicant over an Hispanic or Black applicant without a criminal background. The New York study further showed that Black applicants were more often steered to positions lower than applied for—while whites were more often steered to positions better than applied for.

Job applicants getting callbacks/job offers:

Whites with criminal records: 17.1%
Latinos without criminal records: 15.9%
Blacks without criminal records: 12.9%
(NYC discrimination study–2004 data)

Job applicants getting callbacks:

Whites <u>with</u> criminal records: 17%
Blacks <u>without</u> criminal records: 14%
(Milwaukee discrimination study–2001 data)

Wake the Fuck Up!
This system has always been about white supremacy.
Stop thinking with its racist values.
Stop seeing with its racist eyes.
Start resisting it.
Get with the Revolution!